NON-CONFIDENTIAL

| 1 | Requ | est IR-14: |
|----|------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refer | rence: ECI-IR-1 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Prear | mble: NS Power states that all RtR tariffs should apply fully to the RtR transactions |
| 6 | for be | ehind-the-meter applications. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | (a) | With reference to item (i) in the response to ECI-IR-1, please explain the differences |
| 9 | | between a supplier selling a customer behind-the-meter generation equipment |
| 10 | | (exclusively for the customer's own use) versus a supplier installing the same |
| 11 | | equipment and selling metered electricity to that customer. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | (b) | Please highlight the reasons why RtR tariffs would apply to the latter scenario in (a) |
| 14 | | but not the former. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | (c) | Please explain whether each scenario in (a) was permitted prior to the introduction |
| 17 | | of the RtR framework. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | (d) | Does NS Power have any tariffs that allow a customer to utilize non-renewable |
| 20 | | generation that does not meet the 2000 kW threshold of the Generation |
| 21 | | Replacement and Load Following Tariff? |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Respo | onse IR-14: |
| 24 | _ | |
| 25 | (a) | The difference is that |
| 26 | , , | |
| 27 | | (i) there is no retail sale of electricity if a customer uses electricity generated by |
| 28 | | equipment that it owns, and |
| 29 | | |

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

| 1 | | (ii) there is a retail sale of electricity if a customer purchases electricity from another |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | party that owns generation, including on the customer's premises. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | (b) | Under scenario (i) the customer may, subject to the Net Metering regulation, be eligible |
| 5 | | for Net Metering service. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | Retail sales of electricity under scenario (ii) will be permitted under Section 3C of the |
| 8 | | Electricity Act upon the effective date of the Board's Decision in this matter, but only by |
| 9 | | an LRS in accordance with the RtR provisions and thus subject to the RtR tariffs. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | (c) | The introduction of the RtR framework does not affect scenario (i) eligibility under the |
| 12 | | Net Metering regulation. If scenario (ii) had arisen prior to the RtR framework it would |
| 13 | | have been a matter for determination under the Public Utilities Act and, if permitted, |
| 14 | | subject to the provisions of an applicable tariff. At present, NS Power does not have a |
| 15 | | tariff to support this type of configuration other than the Generation Replacement and |
| 16 | | Load Following (GRLF) Tariff. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | (d) | No. |
| | | |

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

| 1 | Request IR-15: |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: ECI-IR-5 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Please confirm whether the loss factors provided to the LRS in the settlement |
| 6 | documentation supporting each invoice will be sufficient for the LRS to demonstrate its |
| 7 | compliance with S.10 as required by S.23 of the Retailers Regulations. If not confirmed, |
| 8 | please explain what additional information can be provided by NS Power to enable each |
| 9 | LRS to comply with S.10 and S.23. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Response IR-15: |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Confirmed. NS Power anticipates that the transmission and distribution loss factors will enable |
| 14 | the LRS to determine the transmission and distribution losses as required by S.10 and S.23 of the |
| 15 | Retailers Regulations as a component of the LRS's compliance reports to the Board. |

Date Filed: November 9, 2015 NSPI (ECI) IR-15 Page 1 of 1

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

| 1 | Request IR-16: |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: ECI-IR-12 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Please provide additional reasons and support for NS Power's position that a LRS cannot |
| 6 | opt out of supplier-consolidated billing. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Response IR-16: |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Throughout the RtR market design process, NS Power and Stakeholders identified and |
| 11 | considered options for NS Power consolidated billing, split billing, and LRS consolidated billing. |
| 12 | Stakeholders' feedback indicated a clear preference for LRS consolidated billing. As a result, |
| 13 | the RtR framework and procedures have been designed and developed on the basis of LRS |
| 14 | consolidated billing, providing appropriate cash flow and payment security provisions between |
| 15 | NS Power and the LRS as well as procedures for non-payment. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Enabling a LRS to be able to choose an alternate billing method by opting out of supplier- |
| 18 | consolidated billing would require the development and implementation of a parallel set of |
| 19 | business processes for meter data management, settlement and billing. This would result in |
| 20 | higher development and implementation costs and would increase the ongoing administrative |
| 21 | burden, complexity and associated costs for the RtR market participants. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Under a Split-Billing arrangement, the procedures in response to non-payment would be |
| 24 | different, as would the requirements for LRS credit assurance. For example, failure of an RtR |
| 25 | customer to pay NS Power for DT Charges could result in disconnection of the customer by NS |
| 26 | Power in accordance with the NS Power Regulations. As such, the LRS would not be able to |
| 27 | supply the customer until they were re-connected. |