## Request IR-1:

At DE-03 - DE-04, p.14, lines 9 - 11 it states: "We are pioneering the development of instream tidal energy with the goal of harnessing the phenomenal power of the Bay of Fundy tides."
(a) Please provide an itemization of all amounts that are included in the 2012 revenue requirement in this case associated with research and development of harnessing the power of the Bay of Fundy tides. This should include, but not be limited to, all operating expenses, capital/plant, depreciation and/or amortization, and other costs included in the filing. Reference specifically where in the filing the amounts are incorporated.
(b) Please provide all amounts recorded by the Company in each year, 2009 through 2011 year to date, associated with the goal of harnessing the power of the Bay of Fundy tides. Please separate the annual amounts between operating costs, capital costs, depreciation/amortization, and any other costs recorded.

Response IR-1:
(a) Please refer to the table below.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| CWIP | 0 |
| Plant in service | $2,577,974$ |
| Depreciation expense | 54,137 |
| OM\&G | 300,000 |

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

Investment in the Bay of Fundy tidal energy development also affects 2012 financing and tax-related expenses. NSPI does not track these expense items by individual investment.
(b) Please refer to the table below.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | 2011 YTD (May 31) <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CWIP | $4,932,400$ | $(2,060,405)^{*}$ | $(175,704)$ |
| Plant in service | $4,573,089$ | $2,512,684$ | $2,336,980$ |
| Depreciation expense | 12,314 | 58,708 | 19,404 |
| OM\&G | 0 | 155,000 | 0 |

*Includes an investment tax credit

## Request IR-2:

With respect to the Work Management System identified at DE-03 - DE-04, page 54, line 11:
(a) Please provide a copy of any cost benefit analysis conducted by or for the Company associated with the Work Management System that was added since rates were last set.
(b) Please identify when the Work Management System was placed into Plant in Service on the Company's books (month and year).
(c) Please identify all projected annual costs savings to be realized as a result of the implementation of the Work Management System and indicate whether or not the savings are included in the $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ forecast in this case. If included, please identify the amount of savings included and indicate where they are reflected in the filing. If not included, explain, in detail, why not.

Response IR-2:
(a) Please refer to Attachment 1 for the cost benefit analysis for the work management solution. This document was filed in support of NSPI's Capital Work Order Application, CI\# 28413, which was approved by the UARB on February 27, 2009.
(b) July, 2010.
(c) The projected savings to be realized as a result of the implementation of the work management system is $\$ 424,360$ in 2012. These savings are included in the 2012
forecast. These savings are found in Regional Operations labour and are as a result of increased efficiency in the planning and dispatch of Power Line Technician (PLT) work.
WMS Replacement Project
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## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

## Request IR-3:

With respect to the Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") Project identified at DE-03 - DE-04, page 54, line 22:
(a) Please provide a copy of any cost benefit analysis conducted by or for the Company associated with the AMI Project.
(b) Please identify when the AMI System was or is projected to be placed into Plant in Service on the Company's books (month and year).
(c) Please identify all amounts included in the Company's filing associated with the AMI project that is incorporated in the projected 2012 revenue requirement calculations. This should include, but not be limited to, plant in service, CWIP, depreciation, operating costs, etc. Please reference specifically where each of the amounts are located within the filing.
(d) Please identify all projected annual costs savings to be realized as a result of the implementation of the AMI system in the most detailed format available. Include a detailed description and quantification of all associated cost savings. Also, please indicate whether or not the projected savings are incorporated in the 2012 revenue requirement in this case. If not, explain in detail why not. If yes, please specify the cost savings and identify where they are included.

Response IR-3:
(a) NSPI is continuing to evaluate this capital item and develop the detailed business case. These details will be provided to the UARB when NSPI applies for approval of this item.
(b) The AMI system is projected to be placed into Plant in Service on the Company's books in December 2013.
(c-d) There are no depreciation or operating costs, nor any projected savings incorporated in the 2012 revenue requirement because the project is not scheduled to be in-service in 2012.

In 2012 there is $\$ 12.6$ million included in CWIP and $\$ 12.8$ million of net additions to Property Plant and Equipment relating to this project. The AFUDC amounts from the AMI project included in the 2012 test year is a recovery of $\$ 532,782$. This AFUDC amount offsets the cost of capital related to CWIP that is included in the 2012 revenue requirement.

## Request IR-4:

With respect to the deferral to offset the required Section 21 amortization referenced at DE-03 - DE-04, page 56, lines 24 - 26, please explain and show, in detail, how the $\$ 14.8$ million deferral NS Power will record in 2011 was determined.

Response IR-4:

Please refer to CA IR-143.

## Request IR-5:

With respect to DE-03 - DE-04, page 57, Figure 4.2:
(a) Please describe and show how the "2010 discretionary amortization per 2009 ROE settlement" amount of $\$ 14.8$ million was determined. Include all calculations and assumptions used in deriving this amount.
(b) Please describe and show how the "2010 discretionary amortization per 2009 ROE settlement" amount of (\$4.8) million was determined. Include all calculations and assumptions used in deriving this amount.

Response IR-5:
(a-b) Please refer to CA IR-143 and Page 56 of 161 of the Application.

## Request IR-6:

With respect to DE-03-DE-04, page 58, lines $1-2$, please explain and show, in detail, how the $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 . 2}$ million of amortization related to Section 21 that is included in the 2012 revenue requirement was determined. Include all calculations and assumptions used in deriving this amount.

Response IR-6:

Please refer to CA IR-144 Attachment 1 for detailed calculations. The amortization related to Section 21 included in the 2012 revenue requirement is in accordance with the eight-year levelized tax amortization approach approved by the UARB in the 2005 Rate Decision.

## Request IR-7:

With reference to DE-03 - DE-04, page 69, lines 16 - 20.
(a) Please provide the percentage of labour costs that were capitalized on the Company's books for each year, 2006 through 2010 and for 2011 year to date.
(b) What percentage of 2012 labour costs is being charged to capital projects in the 2012 forecast in the Company's filing?
(c) Please explain and show, in detail, how the amount of labour costs to be capitalized in 2012, as reflected in the Company's filing, was determined. Include all calculations, workpapers and assumptions used in deriving the amount.

Response IR-7:
(a) Please refer to the table below.

| Year | Capitalized Labour (\%) |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 10 |
| 2007 | 13 |
| 2008 | 14 |
| 2009 | 14 |
| 2010 | 17 |
| 2011 (May YTD) | 14 |

(b) Capitalized labour for 2012 is forecasted to be 12 percent.

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

(c) The amount of labour to be capitalized in the 2012 filing is determined based on specific projects requirements along with the work plans for the overall capital program.

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

## Request IR-8:

With regards to the benefits offered to employees, please respond to the following questions:
(a) Provide a detailed description of any changes in the benefits offered to employees that have been implemented since the last rate case and identify specifically when those changes were implemented (month and year).
(b) Has the annualized impact of any changes in benefits been reflected in the forecasted 2012 period contained in the Company's filing? If not, explain in detail why not and provide the estimated impact on the 2012 operating expenses resulting from annualizing the impact of the change.
(c) Does the Company anticipate implementing any additional changes in employee benefits between the current period and the end of the 2012? If yes, provide a detailed description of the changes and provide the estimated cost impact resulting from the changes. Also identify when it is anticipated the changes will be implemented.
(d) If yes to (c) above, please indicate whether or not the impact on operating costs resulting from the anticipated changes has been reflected in the 2012 forecast period. It no, please explain why not and provide the projected impact on 2012 forecast costs.

Response IR-8:
(a) There have been no changes to benefit program design since the last rate case. NSPI changed insurance carriers in 2010 from Manulife Financial to Medavie Blue Cross.
(b-d) NSPI does anticipate any changes to benefit program design to the end of 2012, and therefore no cost changes relating to benefit design have been reflected in the 2012 forecast.

## Request IR-9:

With reference to the restructuring and expansion of the Technical and Construction Services Group referenced at DE-03 - DE-04, page 72, lines $16-19$, please provide the following information:
(a) Please provide the total costs incurred associated with the restructuring and expansion of the Technical and Construction Services group, by year, for 2010 and 2011 year to date and identify where the costs were recorded on the Company's books. Identify which of the costs are one-time costs and which are recurring in nature.
(b) Please confirm that any one-time cost associated with the restructuring and expansion has been excluded from the 2012 revenue requirements in this case. If not, then please identify any amounts included in the 2012 forecast revenue requirement and explain, in detail, why they were not removed or excluded.

Response IR-9:
(a) There are no restructuring costs. Labour and non-labour costs associated with NS Power's growth in the capital program focusing on reliability, renewable development, and succession planning are as follows:

| Cost Category | 2010 <br> (\$) | 2011 (to May 31) <br> (\$) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Labour | 489,000 |  |
| Non-Labour | 458,000 |  | * All costs are recurring in nature.

(b) Confirmed.

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

## Request IR-10:

With reference to Figure 5.11 at DE-03 - DE-04, page 81, please separate each of the amounts presented between internal labour costs, external labour cost, and non-labour expenses. If internal labour costs are included, please explain why they are included since labour costs are accounted for elsewhere in the Company's filing.

Response IR-10:

Please refer to Liberty IR-57, Attachment 1 for a breakdown of storm costs between labour, contractors and other non-labour costs. Please note that the rates charged by contractor for storm response include costs for labour, overhead, vehicle ownership and maintenance, training, and profit margin. These rates are all-inclusive and NSPI is therefore not able to determine the portion of those costs that relates specifically to external labour.

NSPI employs a methodology whereby employee work hours are tracked and charged directly to specific activities and accounts, including storm accounts during storm response. This process ensures that internal labour costs are accurately accounted for according to specific activity, and that amounts tracked or forecast in one area are not duplicated in another. These processes and controls ensure that the storm labour amounts listed in the 2012 rate application have not been accounted for elsewhere in NSPI's filing.

Please also refer to NPB IR-153(c).

## Request IR-11:

With reference to DE-03 - DE-04, page 89, lines 16 - 20, please show, in detail, how the projected revenue requirement reduction of $\$ 2.8$ million in OM\&G costs related to the relocation to the Lower Water Street facility was determined. Include all assumptions, workpapers and calculations used in determining the amount.

Response IR-11:

Please refer to Liberty IR-68 and CA IR-127.

